

some study on it. I attended the hearing at Ogallala and it was a very impressive hearing and I feel very strong that this is an environmental issue. There is just no doubt in my mind when you're dealing with pesticides, nitrate through a chemigation system and there is a danger of that falling into the well, it is very much environment. And I just can't see why we should dwell in questioning it whether it should go to Public Works or Ag. I think it should go to Ag and Environment. There is no doubt in my mind about that. Okay, we're changing policy, let's change it. That's not all bad. I think it is high time we're changing it if that is the question. Now I recall, and I wasn't here at that time, but I was very much involved with 1357 which was the Natural Resource bill, the organization of that bill. Do you know where that was? That was in Ag and Environment Committee.

EASE (Power Failure)

PRESIDENT: The correct time now is ten thirty-five and thirty-three seconds. I guess there should be a lesson in all this on how dependent we are on artificial creations of man. At the time that we stood at ease Senator Sieck had the floor and the question is on the divided question of the bills going back to Public Works Committee. Senator Sieck, you have about three minutes left.

SENATOR SIECK: Mr. President, members of the body, I'm kind of sorry I knocked the lights out but it gave us a chance to kind of relax and I think my emotion was getting a little carried away here, but I do have some strong feelings here. I do feel that we're talking about the Ag and Environment and I put emphasis on the Environment. And to me the chemigation bills was that. It's environment. We're dealing with pesticides. We're dealing with nitrate and whether it would go into the groundwater. Yes, we're going to have some mechanical devices which the Public Works feels they should have and I would agree with that. But I think the strong feeling in this area is that we're dealing with pollution and I feel that the proper place for that is the Ag and Environment Committee. And if it is a change in policy, well and good, I have no problem with that. I think that is the way it should go. I know that when I put the study resolution on chemigation before the body a year ago, that it was brought before the Ag Committee, although the Ag Committee studied it and also the Public Works Committee